

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,062	02/17/2004	Calvin Lam	C&M1.PAU.19	9669
23386 7590 07/19/2007 MYERS DAWES ANDRAS & SHERMAN, LLP 19900 MACARTHUR BLVD., SUITE 1150 IRVINE, CA 92612			EXAMINER	
			CANFIELD, ROBERT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			3635	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/780,062	LAM, CALVIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert J. Canfield	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ma	arch 2007					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.	•				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	parto quajto, todo oto tit, to	4				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,8,10,12,13,15-17 and 20-28</u> is/are p	ending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,8,10,12,13,15-17 and 20-28</u> is/are re	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,8,10,12,13,15-17 and 20-28</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20, 21</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 February 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🛱 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign u	oriority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a).	-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
and the distance design for a list of the defining depict het received.						
	·					
	*	•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: <u>marked up drawings</u> .						

Application/Control Number: 10/780,062

Art Unit: 3635

- 1. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed 03/29/07. Claims 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 15-17 and 20-28 are pending. Claims 1-6, 9, 11, 14, 18 and 19 have been canceled.
- 2. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02. Note that neither the declaration filed 08/13/04 or the application data sheet specifically identify a mailing address. Each only refers to a residence:

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not identify the mailing address of each inventor. A mailing address is an address at which an inventor customarily receives his or her mail and may be either a home or business address. The mailing address should include the ZIP Code designation. The mailing address may be provided in an application data sheet or a supplemental oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.63(c) and 37 CFR 1.76.

3. The drawings are objected to because:

Figures 4 and 5 require bracketing "}",

Figure 6, 9 and 10 fail to show the lines of the joints which would be visible on the top, and

Figure 8 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Further, it is unclear why Figure 8 is even necessary as it appears to be identical to Figure 7 which is indicated as "Prior Art".

Art Unit: 3635

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. Claims 20 and 21 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 20 requires that the second planes are perpendicular to the first planes. Claim 20 depends from claim 7, which requires the second plane to be transverse to the first planes. The examiner fails to see how claim 20 further limits claim 7 from which it depends.

Art Unit: 3635

Similarly claims 21 indirectly depends from claim 13 which requires the second planes transverse the first planes.

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 8, 10, 12 and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification fails to adequately describe / enable hidden joining marks. The specification states that the additional processing steps hide the joining marks 32. The examiner disagrees. Joining marks 32 would appear along the top face of the block of Figure 9 as well as the faces of the slats of Figure 10 (Note they would also appear along the top face of the Prior Art block in Figure 6). The additional steps or rejoining and cutting it a transverse plane cannot hide these joins. The joins will remain. They will appear as straight lines rather than in a zig-zag finger join pattern but they will still be visible and not hidden as described and claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,062 Page 5

Art Unit: 3635

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are definite because they do not define the structure or appearance of the slat but rather intermediate products/steps in arriving at the slat. It is unclear if a finished slat is claimed or first and second manufactured slats are.

- 9. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 10. Claims 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 15-17 and 20-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 947,001 to Kertcher.

PRODUCT-BY-PROCESS CLAIMS ARE NOT LIMITED TO THE MANIPULATIONS OF THE RECITED STEPS, ONLY THE FINAL RESULTING STRUCTURE IMPLIED BY THE STEPS

Determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. MPEP 2113.

As to method claims 7, 8, 10 and 12, Kertcher provides a method of providing and cutting a first section of wood (fig. 1) then rejoining and cutting the new sections in a transverse plane (figure 3). As best understood no joining marks are shown in the face planes

Application/Control Number: 10/780,062 Page 6

Art Unit: 3635

The faces of the resulting sections of wood (c) appear as thin rectangular shapes comprised of the peripheral edges of the first slats (figure 1).

The finished slat of Kertcher would appear as the finished slat of claims 13, 15-17 and 20-28.

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. Canfield whose telephone number is 571-272-6840. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rich Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR, CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert Canfield Primary Examination